

From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Sentinel Clarification (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 12:04:07 PM

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Hello [REDACTED]

Our clarifications for the article in the Sentinel this past Saturday are as follows:

The statement - "Wells surrounding it (IWCS) are showing elevated levels," said Corps Project Manager John Busey. "But (Roberts' findings) it's not a new report."

What [REDACTED] said was: "Wells surrounding it (IWCS) are showing steady state to declining contaminant trends," said Corps' Project Manager [REDACTED]

The other quote that needs to be clarified is - "[REDACTED] and other attending Corps members said that elevated levels indicating leaks do occur and have been a problem on the NFSS property. But he also stressed that they should be viewed as separate from the current Corps' feasibility study evaluations. "The Corps does not see well OW11B and others as impacting the feasibility studies at IWCS," said [REDACTED]

A suggested clarification for this quote would be: "[REDACTED] and other attending Corps members said that elevated levels were detected in wells OW11B and TWP 833." But he also stressed that they should be viewed as separate from the current Corps' feasibility study evaluations. "The Corps does not see well OW11B and TWP833 as impacting the feasibility study for the IWCS as levels in these wells are associated with historic site operations," said [REDACTED]

Also, as we already discussed, [REDACTED] last name is spelled [REDACTED]

Thank you for the opportunity to make these clarifications to the public in this Saturday's Sentinel.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Outreach Program Specialist
Special Projects Branch, Environmental Team
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1776 Niagara Street
Buffalo, NY 14207

[REDACTED]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE